

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 AUG 28 PM 3: 20

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Burns CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/27/2008 Rm DEPUTY  
CASE NO.: 08cv1143 LAB (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff  
CASE TITLE: Gulbrandson v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Judgment by Default

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>OTHER: Improper format and case closed. Plaintiff must comply with Order dated 8/18/08 to re-open case.</b>

Date forwarded: 8/28/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Burns

Dated: 8/28/08  
cc: All Parties

By: KMB, PLLC

EDWARD GULBRANDSON  
C40185 AS-01143EL  
P.O. Box 799003  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92179-9003

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD K. GULBRANDSON CASE **REJECTED**  
VS. PLAINTIFF, 08-1143-LAB(NLS)  
GOOD YEAR TIRE & RUBBER  
COMPANY

DEFENDANT.

MOTION FOR JUDGEMENT  
BY DEFAULT.

COMES NOW, PLAINTIFF, EDWARD  
KEITH GULBRANDSON AND STATES  
THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION  
IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

ON JUNE 26, 2008 THE COURT:  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT  
OF CALIFORNIA; THE PLAINTIFF'S  
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO  
ERROR ~~DEFENDANT~~ DEFENDANTS AND PLAINTIFFS  
FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF  
DOCUMENTS & REQUEST FOR  
ADMISSIONS.

THE DEFENDANTS DID NOT RESPOND  
WITHIN (30) DAYS, THE PLAINTIFF,  
ALLOWED DEFENDANTS AN ADDITIONAL  
(30) DAYS TO RESPOND AND THE  
DEFENDANTS REFUSED TO ANSWER.

(1)